Consultation Response Form

Proposed Changes to Non-Domestic Permitted Development Rights

We want your views on our proposals for amendments to some non-domestic permitted development rights in Wales. Your views on the draft text for the subsequent Amendment Order and draft Technical Guidance document are also sought.

Please submit your comments by 11/01/2013.

If you have any queries on this consultation, please email: planconsultations-c@wales.gsi.gov.uk or telephone Alan Groves on 029 2082 5362.

Data Protection

Any response you send us will be seen in full by Welsh Government staff dealing with the issues which this consultation is about. It may also be seen by other Welsh Government staff to help them plan future consultations.

The Welsh Government intends to publish a summary of the responses to this document. We may also publish responses in full. Normally, the name and address (or part of the address) of the person or organisation who sent the response are published with the response. This helps to show that the consultation was carried out properly. If you do not want your name or address published, please tell us this in writing when you send your response. We will then blank them out.

Names or addresses we blank out might still get published later, though we do not think this would happen very often. The Freedom of Information Act 2000 and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 allow the public to ask to see information held by many public bodies, including the Welsh Government. This includes information which has not been published. However, the law also allows us to withhold information in some circumstances. If anyone asks to see information we have withheld, we will have to decide whether to release it or not. If someone has asked for their name and address not to be published, that is an important fact we would take into account. However, there might sometimes be important reasons why we would have to reveal someone's name and address, even though they have asked for them not to be published. We would get in touch with the person and ask their views before we finally decided to reveal the information.

	Proposed Changes to Non-Domestic Permitted Development Rights				
Date of consultation period: 3/10/2012 - 11/01/2013					
Nam	ie	Ruth Bradshaw			
Orga	anisation	Campaign for National Parks			
Addı	ress	6-7 Barnard Mews London SW11 1QU			
E-ma	ail address	ruthb@cnp.org.uk			
	ase select	Businesses/Planning Consultants			
	from the wing)	Local Planning Authority			
		Government Agency/Other Public Sector			
		Professional Bodies/Interest Groups			
help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religio		Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)			
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual				
Q1	to Part 8 of	Yes e with the proposed amendments f Schedule 2 to the GPDO, as Table 1 of the consultation paper? Yes (subject to further comment)	No		
relax metr and	Comments: Even with the safeguards proposed, we are not convinced that the proposed relaxation of planning control for new industrial buildings of up to 100 square metres is consistent with the conservation of the scenic beauty of National Parks and AONBs or the heritage value of World Heritage Sites. We suggest the exclusion of article 1(5) land from this provision.				
	2 to the GPI	ee that Part 8 Class C of Schedule OO should be amended in order to Yes			
Q2a	part or whole	new hard surfaces, including the e replacement of hard surfaces, to enstructed of porous or permeable (subject to further comment)	No		
	porous are	to direct run-off to a permeable or a within the curtilage of the arehouse building, except where			

Consu	Itation reference: WG 15462			
	there is a risk of groundwater contamination?			
Comments: We fully support the aim of mitigating the potential for new hard surfaces to exacerbate flood risk associated with heavy rainfall. We also agree that the use of permeable and porous surfaces may be appropriate in many situations.				
Q2b	Should an allowance be made for the partial replacement of hard surfacing? If yes, how large should this allowance be?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
We d	ments: consider that all replacement of hard surfacing neable/porous means.	should b	e through	
Q3	Do you agree that the size thresholds for changes of use of B8 floorspace in Part 3 Class B.1 of the GPDO should be increased?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	ments: omment			
Q4	If the answer to question 3 is yes, is 470sqm the increase be larger or more modest?	correct th	nreshold or shou	ld the
	ments: omment			

Q5	Do you agree with the proposed amendments to Part 32 of Schedule 2 to the GPDO, as described in Table 2 of the consultation paper?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No	
----	--	-----	---	----	--

Consultation reference: WG 15462			
Comments: We have no comment on most of the detail of these proposals. However, we are concerned that the proposals would make it easier to build more on existing sites without planning permission - 25% could comprise a significant extension.			
Should new permitted development rights for offices be introduced to the GPDO, as detailed in paragraph 3.22 of the consultation paper?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
in paragraph only or and contouration paper.			
Comments: We have no comment on the detail of specific proporthe proposal to exclude National Parks from these proposal to exclude National Parks from the Parks from	•	U 2	upport
		Yes	
Should new permitted development rights for shops and financial/professional services be introduced to the GPDO, as detailed in	Yes	(subject to further comment)	No
paragraph 3.30 of the consultation paper?			
Comments: As Q6			
Should new permitted development rights for trolley stores be introduced to the GPDO, as detailed in paragraph 3.31 of the consultation paper?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Comments: We consider that National Parks should be excluded same way that Conservation Areas are excluded. The stores within settlements in National Parks where to provided and the cumulative effect could have significant qualities of these areas.	ere are rolley st	many small sho cores could be	ps and

Annex 2

Proposed Changes to Non-Domestic Permitted Development Rights

Q9	Should new permitted development rights for new buildings to store refuse and/or bicycles, as outlined in paragraph 3.37 of the consultation paper, be introduced?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	рограм (пред от ден и пред от			
Comr As Q	ments:			

Q10	What are your views on the prior approval process, outlined in paragraph 3.39 of the consultation paper?
Comr As Q	ments:

Do you agree that World Heritage Sites should have the same level of protection as article 1(5) land for the purpose of the proposals detailed in this consultation document?

Yes

(subject to further comment)

Comments:

We welcome the proposal to include World Heritage Sites in the definition of Article 1(5) land.

Q12 Are there any other amendments to the GPDO that you would like to suggest?

Comments:

We welcome the fact that most of the provisions being consulted on here do not apply on article (1)5 land.

We would like to see further amendments to the part 40 of the GDPO to remove the permitted development rights that were introduced in May 2012 relating to wind turbines up to 11.1m in height. AONBs, World Heritage Sites and SSSIs are all specifically excluded from these PD rights but not National Parks. We are very concerned about this decision to treat National Parks differently from AONBs and SSSIs as all three were created under the same legislation and should be granted the same level of protection. Welsh Government planning policy also emphasises that National Parks and AONBs have equivalent status. We would like to see part 40 amended so that National Parks are also excluded from these PD rights.

Draft Regulatory Impact Assessment

	Do you have any comments to make about the draft	Yes	No
Q13	Regulatory Impact Assessment at Annex 1?		

Annex 2

Consultation reference: WO

oneditation reference. Tre refer
Comments:
No comment

<u>General</u>

Q14	We have asked a number of specific questions throughout this consultation. If you have any related queries or comments which we have not specifically addressed, please use this space to report them:
No co	omment
I do n	ot want my name/or address published with my response (please tick) 🔲

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

planconsultations-c@wales.gsi.gov.uk

[Please include 'Proposed Changes to Non-Domestic Permitted Development Rights Consultation – WG-15462' in the subject line]

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Development Management Branch Planning Division Welsh Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please

Email: planconsultations-c@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Telephone: Alan Groves on 029 2082 5362